IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 149 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

BRAHMA SAMAAJ CO.OP.HSG.SOC. & 2 - Applicant(s)

Versus

NILKANTHRAY JIVANLAL RAVAL & 3 - Opponent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR SANDEEP N BHATT for Petitioner No(s).: 1,2,3.

MR YOGESH S LAKHANI for Respondent No(s).: 1.
RULE SERVED for Respondent No(s).: 2,4.
RULE UNSERVED for Respondent No(s).: 3.

==========

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 18/07/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Having heard Mr. Sandeep N. Bhatt, learned advocate for the petitioner and having perused the impugned order, according to this Court, this revision application filed under Section 115 of the Code

of Civil Procedure ('the Code' for short) in view of the amendment made in Section 115 of the Code is not maintainable against the interlocutory order. Hence, the revision application is rejected with no order as to costs. Rule is discharged.

(A.M. Kapadia, J.)

...

(karan)